

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/556,911	Applicant(s) YONEYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner Benny Lee	Art Unit 2817

All Participants:(1) Benny Lee (PTO).**Status of Application:** _____(3) Roland Long (Reg No. 41494).(2) Jeremy Mereness.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 March 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

21, 25, 26, 40

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Clarifying changes to the specification and the claims were discussed and agreement reached on specific changes, which would place the application in condition for allowance. See accompanying examiners amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)